SINGLE BENCH (6)

CF/1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. NO. 4803 /2006

PETITIONER : Awdhesh Kumar Yadav , S/o.B.R. Yadav R/o Mahasamund Tahsil and District Mahasamund .

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary Department of Health & Welfare D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director Health Services District – Raipur (C.G.)

3. Chief Medical Officer , Distt.-Mahasamund (C.G.)

4. Collector Mahasamund District Mahasamund (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4840/06
Presented by Sh. Arun Kochar
dated 31/8/06

RECEIVED COPY
31/8/06
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE

(55)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4803 OF 2006

Petitioner	:	Awdhesh Kumar Yadav
	versus	
Respondents	:	The State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mrs. Renu Kochar, counsel for the petitioner.
Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER
(Passed on 5th of September, 2006)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is employed as Ophthalmic Assistant. By order dated 30-6-2000, the petitioner was transferred to the office of Chief Medical and Health Officer, Mahasamund. In view of non-availability of work, by order dated 22-8-2000 (Annexure P-3), the petitioner was directed to be posted at Community Health Centre, Basna, within District Mahasamund. By the impugned order dated

56

19-7-2006 (Annexure P-1), the petitioner has been transferred to join Community Health Centre, Basna as an employee of Community Health Centre, Basna.

2. The petitioner seeks liberty of this Court to make a representation to the respondents – authorities for retaining his services at Mahasamund, keeping in view his family conditions and other problems, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State has no objection.

3. The petitioner is accordingly granted liberty to make a representation raising all the grounds available to him for consideration of his posting to the respondents – authorities. In the event, such representation, if so advised, is made, the same may be considered in accordance with law, on its own merit, within reasonable period.

4. With the above observation, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal